G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Pol 174-1906 I-14-B.

File No. 25—10

Serial Nos. 1–16

1910.

(Police)

Later references.

Police 201-12(1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1– 16.

Part B for March 1913.

SUBJECT.

representation of Mr. M Mityunjaya Ayer requesting reconsideration of the Govt. order directing certain retrenchment from his pay.

1). From the Rev Comr. No 1083 of 2-8-10.
Forwards a letter from the Revenue Suby. giving cover to the representation of Mr. Mrityunjaya Ayer

2). To the Rev Comr. The Dy of Police & the Comptroller No 12933 of 1056-60
28 Oct 1910 Sch.
Gives a month's time to Mr. Mrityunjaya Ayer to decide whether the retrenchment to be held in abeyance.

3) From Mr Mrityunjaya Ayer dt 5.10.10.
Requests to be furnished with certain dates.

4) - To Mr Mrityunjaya Ayer No 12733 of 25-10-10

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

Forwards copies of papers mentioned

5. From the same officer No - dt 25.10.10.

Requests early orders & prays for extension of time

6) To the Rev. Comr & the Comptroller & the Govts Supdt no J 1295-7 dt 28.10.10

Extends the time till the end of the month

7) From Mr Muthyunjaya Nyr dt 8.11.10

Requests for certain records or that he Kolar may be instructed to allow him access thereto

8) To the DC Kolar. no J 1447 dt 12.11.10

Intimates that Mr Muthyunjaya Nyr may be allowed to inspect the records connected the case.

9) From Mr Muthyunjaya Nyr dt 28.11.10

Prays for reasons given for a further extension of time.

10) To the Rev Comr, the Comptroll & D C Kolar & Mr M Nyr

Extends the time till the end of Dec. 10. no J 1657-9 dt 1.12.10.

11) From the D.C. Kolar no 4192/15-3 dt 7.12.10

Intimates that Mr M. Nyr did not apply for any information

12) To the Comptroller, Mr M. Nyr, The Rev Comr, & DC Kolar no J. 1860-3 dt 21.12.10;

Extends the time until further orders.

13) From Mr. M. Nyr dt 20-12.10.

Requests for a further extension of time

14). From Mr. M. Nyr dt 9.5-11.

Submits an explanation & prays that further orders may be postponed till he submits a full explanation

15) From the Comptroller no 7680 - SA. 713 dt 8/13-6-

Requests to be informed if the recovery already ordered may be effected by.

16.) To the D.C. of Police, the D.C. Bangalore, D.C. Kolar D.C. Mysore, Comptroller, Addl. Chief Secretary to Government Revenue Department

Declines to reopen the case

G.R.SAT] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police.)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Representation of Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer regarding the retrenchment ordered in G.P. no. J2577-616-Police 179-06-14 dt/ 5-3-10.

From

The Rev. Comr. in Mysore.

No. 1683 Dated 2/4-8-10 Received 6-8-10

Diary No. J390 Enclos. 2 Spare Copies -- Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the Census Supdt's letter giving cover to Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's representation praying that the retrenchment from his pay ordered in G.P. no. J2577-616-Police 179-06-14 dt 5-3-10 may be kept in abeyance and that he be given an opportunity to peruse the records of imbezzlement by Ramaswamy Naicker & to explain himself.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Subd. for orders. The file relating to a similar representation from Mr. Sundararajaiyer has already been subd to the Asst. Secy. who is pending with him.

B.S. 12-8-10.

SN 19/8/10

2) Mr Mrityunjaya Iyer

-First sheet.

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Serial No.

25

pray for an opportunity to explain himself.

3) (attention is invited to paras 34 & 36 of the main file and the notes in the linked file.)

30/8/10 DM

Sec'y).

4) Mr Ll. Egp. also requests that the retrenchment order may be held in abeyance pending the disposal of this reference.

30/8/10

Sec'y) 5) Though the omission to take the explanation of the officers concerned was noted in paras 34 & 36 of the notes in the main file, the fact was lost sight of later on. Now that it has been brought to notice it is only right to give the officers concerned an opportunity to offer an explanation & clear

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

themselves if possible. In this view the ~~order of~~ retrenchment may be directed to be deferred for a period of two months & in the mean while that he may offer an explanation that time. If any of the other officers affected make a similar application an opportunity for explanation may be similarly afforded.

1887

CBZ
31/8/10

SH
31/8/10

647.
1.9.10

b) I agree. It was a pity that the Officers were not called upon to clear themselves. This should have been done before the final orders were passed.

NBK
31/8/10

c) Put up draft early

CBZ
1/9/10

d) Attorney

SH
1/9/10.

Mr. Cassels/Mr. Murphy may be asked to be heard in this case & the Collector should be held in abeyance I was under the impression

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

9) Subd. with a draft. The Ist Councillor has passed no orders on the linked file.

B.G. 1.9.10 SN 1.9.10

10) Please revise the draft Soas to include the cases of all Offrs whose explanations have not been taken. Vide Secretary's note.

Urgent

2/9/10 SD

11) Subd. with an alternative Draft as per personal instructions.

B.G. 2.9.10. SN 3/9/10

12) By giving an opportunity to messrs Sundaram Iyengar and M. Iyer will practically reopen the whole case. Accept in the draft put up (no 1), opportunity is given to all the Offrs who have not offered their explanation to do so now. This will also prevent the reopening of the case again when other Offrs may come up with explanations.

13) If the order to issue is to be confined to those who have now applied draft no 2 may be appd.

3/9/10 SD

Secy.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Colo File No. 25 of 1910 – 11

Serial No.

14) Draft no. 2 maybe approved.

1827)

CMZ
3/9.
SA
5/9/10

15) maybe approved

706
8.9.10

HMS(
7/9/10

7760
9.9.10

Dewan

16) Rep[ort] submitted – I
heard the matter under the impression
that the DC had held a proper enquiry
which includes explanations of the persons
concerned – And it is strange
that a copy of the Order not sent
to Court of the persons concerned or
about the commutation thereof

SA 9/9/10

SA 29/Sept

17) Issue at once

CMZ
29/Sept

SA
29/9/10

2 To:
The Rev. Comr., the I.G.P., the Comptroller, the
No J 1295- 7/28 Sept 1910
Cmono Supdt. & the Dy. Comr. Kegalle &c.

Give a months time C Dun
Abeliyesinghe Appu & direct that the rebuy

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

be held in abeyance till the result of that period.

18) Subd. Orders are solicited as to whether ~~[illegible]~~ the representations of others, namely those of Messrs. Vedantadesikan Iyengar, Sundararajiyar & C. V. Narayana Rao may not be called for consideration before the receipt of Mr. Nrilyanjaya Iyer's explanation.

B.G. 3-10-10. SW 3/10/10

19) Yes.

5/10/10. SW

From Mr. Nrilyanjaya Iyer. dt. 5-10-10.

Requests to be furnished with copies of the G.O. of the 5th March 10 and of the Dy. Comrs. report on which it is based.

20) Subd. for orders with an draft. Mr. Sundararajiyar's letter sent in a reminder. The file is linked to this.

B.G. 11-10-10. SW 11/10/10

21) Draft may be approved

12/10/10

Issued

13/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.P.B.—55,0-11-27-4-09

Serial No.

4 To
Mr. Muralyanjaya Sept. no. J1275 Dt 25-10-10
forwards the copies asked for

5 from
Mr. Muralyanjaya Sept. Dt 25-10-10.
Requests the early grant of the copies, states that as it is already the 25th of the month, it will not be possible for him to submit his explanation before the end of the month and therefore requests that the time may be extended till the end of next month.

24) Subs. for orders with a draft.
B.G.
27-10-10. SN
27/10/10

25) May be appd
27/10/10

26) Approved
27/10/10

27) Issue :
27/11/10
27/11/10

Clerk — May be pleased to direct the typing section to type the draft letter as early as possible.
27/10/10

Note — Subs. Note was received from Superintendent and sent to the Typing Section on 15-10-10 and received back in the Br on 25-10-10. The fair copy was compared and issued on the same date. Hence the delay was on the part of the Typing section.
27-10-10. SN

Typing Section to expedite delay

Issue the
30-11-10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
6	The Rev. Comr. & the Comptroller & the Business Suptl. no. 1295-J Dy 28.10.10 Extends the time till the end of November 1910.
7	from Mr. Moliyanjaya Iyer. Dy 8.11.10. Requests that the complete records of enquiry – both departmental and magisterial – held into the case may be obtained from the Dy. Comr., Kolar Dt., and forwarded to him for reference or that the Dy. Comr. may be instructed to allow him access to the records in case he is required to go to Kolar ~~in person~~ himself. 28) The records which Mr. M. Iyer wants in particular are:– (1) All pay bills signed in triplicate from February 1905. (2) The references made by the Dt. Police office to the Taluk Police office in the matter of certain discrepancies noticed in the pay bills. (3) The explanations of Inspectors Vasudeva Rao and Narayan Rao in re the embezzlement. Subd. for orders with a draft. 29) May be applied 9.11.10. 9/11/10 9/4/10 30) Issue as 16/11/10 9/11/10

GPH—55,000—27-4-09

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

9

Serial No. 8

To
The Dy: Coner. Kolar. no. J.1467 D/12.11.

Intimates that time allowed to Mr. Muddyenjaya Dyer has been extended up to the end of Nov. 1910 & requests him to afford facilities to that officer to inspect the records connected with the case.

To Mr. Muddyenjaya Dyer. no. J1468 D/12.11.10.

Forwards copy of the above

7 From Mr. Muddyenjaya Dyer D/28.11.10.

States that he has not been able to go to Kolar owing to pressure of work in connection with the Census & that he has also been ill for the past one week & requests that the time now granted may be further extended.

31) The time granted expires on the 30th November 1910. Submitted for orders with a draft.

R.S. 28.11.10.
(57)
SN (11) 29/11/10

32) May be appd

1/12/10

33) appd

30/11/10

GPB—55,000—27-4-10

30/11/10

30/11/10 Secretary.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

10

Serial No. 89

To

The Rev. Comr., the Comptroller, the Dy. Comr. Kolar & Inv. M. Dyer no. J1656-9 Dt 1.12.10.

Extend the time upto the end of Dec 1910 & state that no further time will be granted.

from The Dy. Comr. Kolar no. 4192/153 Dt 7.12.10 with enclosures d.8.

States that Inv. Mr Dyer has not till now applied for any information on the subject.

36) Subd. for orders vide a.

Draft.
BF.
(G) 13.12.10.
SN-11
14/12/10

37) What is the necessity for this draft? On last order has been Communicated to the Deputy Commr Kolar. The letter under disposal does not seem to require an answer

14/12/10. SD

When personally explained the Hon'ble Secy said that this draft is unnecessary.
RR
15-12-10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. 13]

JMS 81

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

42) To the Supt. in III for neatly putting up the file relating to the portion marked (A) above.

CBR (10) 17/12/10

43) Please see the draft order approved. Please arrange for issue

CBR (10) 17/12/10

93 45

17.12.10

GPB—85,000—2/14-09

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 28 of 1910-11

Serial No.

12 To
The Comptroller, Mr. M. Dyer, The Rev. Comm
& The Dy: Comm. Kolar D.C. no. 188
Dt 21.12.10.
Extend the time until further
orders.

13 from
Mr. M. Dyer. Dt 20.12.10.
Requests that the time
allowed to him may be extended till
the end of Jan 1911.

(46) Secy's remarks:-
"Has not an order been
issued about this? Please communi-
cate it to Mr. M. Dyer."
(Subs.) C.S.B.
21.12.10.

45) Subs. The order was despatched
to Mr. M. Dyer on 21.12.10.
This has to be subs. to Govt Commis
for information.
B.G.
(69) 22.12.10.

46)
23/12/10

1st box)

47)
23/12/10

1817
23.12.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

12]
l and Revenue
ecretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
14	From Mr. Nirmalyanjaya Iyer Dt. 9.5.11.

Submits explanation after looking into available records and requests that passing of final orders may be postponed until he could have an opportunity to look into the records of the Dt. Office and submit his final explanation.

Explanation:—

49 No reference available to show that the Dt. Police office communication regarding double payment as referred to in para 4 of the G.P. in question was despatched to the Taluk Office. This fact was entered in the Taluk Office register. The page relating to this in the Dt. Police Office Tappal Book is missing.

The pay bills were not prepared in ~~duplicate~~ triplicate and all the copies were not signed by the officials. The bills encashed at the treasury were signed by the Amildar, the Dy. — the office barawar register being initialled by him. The copies of the bills sent to the Dt. Police Office were prepared by the Police Inspector and despatched to that office under his (Inspector's) signature as a departmental rule

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

One only of the items for which the writer was tried & convicted (namely the bill for March 1906) relates to his period of Amildari and that he (Mr. M. Iyer) has not been examined with reference to this embezzlement either in the departmental investigation or at the trial.

It may be that the alterations in the bills were made after his signature had been affixed to them (N.B. This he cannot definitely say until he sees the records of the trial.)

50) The above explanation has been given by Mr. M. Iyer after going through the available records in the Taluk & Dt. Police offices. He says that he was not able to get at the Dt Office records as they had been sent to Mr. Viswanatha Rao, Supdt. of Police, Hassan.

51) Mr. Narasimhaya Iyer brings to notice that Mr. Rama Swami Naidu was employed as writer after he had once been dismissed for forgery & embezzlement of money in a similar capacity.

Now it was subsequently stated that he was employed by the Dist.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,060—12-8-10

Serial No.

52) Mr. Sundara rajulugar has sent in another reminder.

Subo. for orders.

B.G. (39)26.11 SN(11) 5/6/11

53) Mr Krishnajayar's Explanation is only a tentative one and he prays that Govt may stay passing orders till he has had an opportunity of seeing the original records which were not available at Kolar when he had gone there. His Explanation as now given does not seem to afford him a good defence. However in order that he might furnish a full Explanation he is to be allowed time to look into the original records, orders on the file may be stayed for some time.

54) The representations of the other Officers Concerned being intermixed and also Connected also with Mr. K. Gpi's Case may also wait till Mr. K. Gpi's final Explanation is received.

55) If it is Considered undesirable that any more time should be given the

Subo as directed.

B.G. 15.6.11.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

would be done early
K.K.N
9/11/11

Case will be noted on and
Subd for orders
28/6/10. SA

Lee 56)
Mr Sundara rajeswara's representation
maybe dealt with & disposed of independently
of Mr M. Devi.

57) (Mr Mayne's file containing Mr Srinivasa Iyer's explanation) Attd Mayne
U.N. 15/7/11
SA
7/7/11

1

58) Note
Mr Krishnayya Iyengar's forwards in his favour which he
contends should absolve him from
responsibility.

59) His first Contention is that the
~~D.S~~ District Police Office Staff was in
possession of information which should
have aroused their suspicion and which in
fact did arouse their ~~of~~ suspicion viz
that in Certain Cases where true Copies
of the Treasury Bills were received the
Excess payments ~~were~~ were noticed and the item

vide Jayne's note file No. 58 of 11.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

ticked off. If this fact had been brought to his notice he could at once have started inquiries and prevented all future frauds at least. Mr M. Iyer Contends that the Dt Police office did not intimate this fact to him.

60) It may however be observed ~~that the Asst Police Officer Vizag (at whose instance the Enquiry was made by the Dt Police) was~~ that the "double payments and discrepancies" were pointed out to the Taluq office and Explanation called for on four different occasions viz 15th Feb 1905, 18th Aug 1905, 19th Sept 1905 and and 20th Feb 1906 - and also on 1st March 1906. (Please see para 6 of the D.C's memo and para 4 of the Explanation of Mr M. Iyer.) Mr Krishnajaya Iyer's answer to this is that none of these have been registered in the register of the Taluq Police office" and that the first page of the Taluq Police office Taffal book which should have contained the entry about the first of these Communications viz that dated 15th Feb 1905 is missing. Nothing is stated in the Explanation about the other Communications. The local officers should

that they were never received by him as may be seen from the fact

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

be able to explain best as to how this page of all these has disappeared.

61) The next point urged by Mr. M. Iyer is more important. He states that Vasudevakam (Hd. Quarts Inspector also held liable in this case) has pointed out that some of the bills presented to and cashed at the treasury must have been altered after his (Vasudevakam's) signature & the bills was taken. Mr Vasudevakam has made this statement after an examination of some of the bills in the Comptroller's office. But M. Iyer contends that if the Constable could have made alterations after Mr V. signed the ~~bills~~ bills, it may as well be reasonably assumed that they were made after his own signature was also affixed. There seems to be great force in this argument of Mr. M. Iyer and a personal inspection of the original bills can alone carry conviction to one's mind. Else the contention is bound to be merely hypothetical.

62) The third contention of Mr. M. Iyer is perhaps of equal importance. He brings to notice for the first time

sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

in the record that Constable Ramaswami Naidu who has been the Cause of all this trouble was formerly a Police Station writer and that he was dismissed in 1900 from the Service for forgery in the Registers Register and misappropriation of Certain Salaries due to the Constables and yet three years afterwards (1903) the D.S.P. (Mr G. V. Krishnamurthi) reinstated him in the Service. Mr M. Stokes that it is clear from the Correspondence on the Subject that the D.S.P had full knowledge of Ramaswami Naidu's antecedents when he ordered his reinstatement in Service and he therefore Contends that Some responsibility should be transferred to this office also. The Contention Seems to be reasonable.

63) The last point urged by Mr Iyer is connected with the first viz the non-receipt of the Communications from the Distt. Police office, & about Peons pay ments etc. This has already been touched upon above.

See 7.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12 18/6/12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1911-12

Serial No.	
15	66) In the meanwhile another communication has been received from The Comptroller vide 7680-S.A.755 6/8/13. 6.12 stating that Mr. Nrilyanjaya Iyer has returned from leave and requests to be informed whether the recovery of the amount retrenched may be effected. 65) Subd. for orders. B.S. (8) 22.6.12 SW(11) 24/6/12 66) Subd to Secy 26/6/12

Please see Dewan's orders dated 7th May 1912 on the file relating to Mr Vishwanatha Rao

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rev. File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Flag A

67) In connection with the defalcations in the Kolar Taluk Police office by writer Ramaswami Naidu Government, in their order dated 5th March 1910, directed the recovery of the defalcated amount from the Police and other officers concerned in certain proportions.

68) Of these officers, the following applied to Government for a re-consideration of their order

1. Mr.T.K.Sunderarajiengar
2. Mr.A.Vedantadesigam Iyengar
3. Mr.M.Visvanatha Rao
4. Mr.C.V.Narayana Rao
5. Mr.A.Mrityunjaya Iyer.

In the case of Nos. 1,2 and 4, the Dewan has expressed his agreement with the opinion of the 1st Councillor(then Mr.K.P.Puttanna Chetty) that thes officers cannot be exonerated from their liabilit to pay the amounts for which they are made respon sible in the G.O. of March 1910; and necessary or will have to be issued accordingly.

69) The representations of Messrs: Visvanatha Rao and Mrityunjaya Iyer still remain undisposed.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

There is no doubt some force in the arguments put forward by them; but they are equally applicable to the other officers whose application for a re-consideration of the Government orde have been rejected; and it will be difficult to accord any exceptional treatment to them t which the latter would not be equally entitled.

70) If we have to ~~revise~~ reconsider the G.O. of March 1910 as regards the above two officers, the whole question will have to be re-opened, and the G.O. itself will probably have to be revised. As such a course is not advisable at this distance of time, I would, in the circumstances of the case especially considering that the amounts which these officers have been called on to pay are only very small, suggest that we may stick to the G.O. of March 1910 and deal with their applications in the same way as those of the others & by rejecting them.

2nd Counsellor + Dewan

23

6/11/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Public File No. 28 of 1910 & Confl

Serial No.

71 The grounds urged by these appellants are substantially dissimilar from those of their previous applications for revision which have been rejected. There is also no [illegible]

[illegible]

59
14.11.12

Decision.

13/11

72 It is not possible at this distance of time to reopen this case. I agree with the Secretary & 2nd Councillor.

25.2.13. RG

73 Bill
Please send up papers

25
26/2

26/2

26.2.13

74 Subd. A draft order covering all the 5 cases is put up. Copy of the order may, for reference, be pla

neral and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
	in the other files. Attention is also invited to the fact that Mr. Venkatramana Iyer does not consider the explanation was offered as final.

B4.
07/11-3/13
SN

Dy Secy)
Sec'y)

SPL 15/3
Dhanyachari 15/3
SPL 15/3

25 Appd
15/3
15/3 Issue 1913

26 issue
SPL 15/3

16 To the D.S.P., the Comptroller, the D.C. Hassan, the D.C. Kolar, the D.C. Mysore, Mr. C. Narayan Rao & Mr. K.S. Sundararaja Iyer Declines to reopen the case

191 -191

From

RAJAKARYA PRAVINA

A. Rangaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner for Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 2nd August-1910

Despatched 4

Received

General No. 1683

Enclosure No. Two

SUBJECT.

forwards a letter from
Mr A. Srinivasaraja Aiyar
requesting that the re-
trenchment ordered by
the Comptroller with reference
G.O. of 5th March 1910
may be held in abeyance.

No. 1683
2

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 12nd August 1910

ÖM

Rajakarya Pravina
A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ., B. A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith No.260, dated the 30th July 1910, addressed to you, through this office, from the Census Superintendent in Mysore, forwarding a letter from Mr.Mrityunjaya Iyer requesting that the retrenchment ordered by the Comptroller with reference to Government Order of 5th March 1910 may be held in abeyance.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.



FROM

The Census Superint

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

through the Revenue Commr in Mys

Dated 30th

Despatched ✓ July 1910

Received

No. 260.

Enclosure No. one

SUBJECT.

Forwards a letter
Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Aiyar
requesting that the retrench
ment ordered by the Comptr
with reference to G.O. Nr. J25/7
616 - Police 179-06-14 dy 5/3/
may be kindly held in abeyan

Mel 6PH 25-101

No. 260.
Census 1911.

OFFICE OF THE CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, ...the 30th July 191

Thyagaraja Aiyar Esquire M.A.,
Census Superintendent in Mysore.

C. S. Balasundaram Aiyar Esquire B.A.,

Offg: *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departme

Through The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit, herewith, for order of Government, a letter from my Assistant Mr.A.Mrityunjaya Ai requesting for reasons explained that the retrenchment from h pay of a sum of Rs.60-13-1 ordered by the Comptroller to Gove ment with reference to Government Order No.J.2577-616/Police 06-14 dated the 5th March 1910 may be kindly held in abeyance.

I have the horor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Census Superintendent.

6/7/10 Encl to Pol 25-10-1 (3

'om

A. Mrityunjaya Aiyar, Esq., B.A.,

Assistant to the Census Superintendent in Mysore,

Bangalore.

V. R. Thyagaraja Aiyar, Esquire, M.A.,

Census Superintendent in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

The Comptroller to Government has forwarded to me for information, copy of his Advice to the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore, dated the 21st July 1910, instructing that Officer to recover from my pay bill for August 1910 a sum of Rs.60-13-1 to be retrenched in accordance with the Government Order No.J.2577-616/ Pol.179-06-14, dated 5th March 1910 towards the adjustment of the amount embezzled by Ramaswami Naidu, Constable-writer of Kolar Town Police.

2. In this connection I respectfully beg to submit that the Government Order of the 5th March 1910, defining the extent of my responsibility has not, as yet, been officially communicated to me by any authority. In fact, even now, I am absolutely ignorant of the circumstances relating to the embezzlement; nor have I been given any opportunity to explain my position and responsibility in the matter with reference to the records of any enquiry either Departmental or Magisterial.

3. In these circumstances, I respectfully beg that the Government may be moved to kindly hold the retrenchment ordered by the Comptroller in abeyance and give me an opportunity to peruse the records of the embezzlement, see for myself how the embezzlement had happened during the period I was [illegible] of Kolar Taluk [illegible] myself if possible.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedieht servant,

S. 28.]

Police

File No. 25-10

Serial No. 2

ary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

7(b)

, the 19

... of issue 29-9-10

igned by Mr Krishnamacha

READ—

G.P. no. J2577-616-Police. 179-06-14 dated the 5th March 1910 passing orders re the encadrement of Sowcar by Constable Vithi Ramaswamy Naidu of Kolar.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

2. Letter no. 1683-2 dated the 2nd August 1910 from the Rev. Comr. in Mysore, forwarding letter no. 260 dated the 30th July 1910 from the ~~Assistant Superintendent in Mysore~~ to a letter from Mr

over

A representation

SUBJECT.

A. Mrityunjaya Aiyar, B.A.,
stating that the Comptroller
has, in accordance with the
above G.O., instructed the Officer
in charge of the State Hospital
to recover from his pay for August 1910
a sum of Rs. 60-13-1 1/2
praying that he may be given
an opportunity to peruse the
records connected with the
embezzlement and offer his explanation
before the retrench
ment is effected.

Order thereon Proc. J 1056-60 & 29-9-
Police 25-10-2
One month's time is given
to Mr. Mrityunjaya Aiyar to
peruse the records and submit
his explanation. The Comptroller
requested to hold the retrench
order in respect of the above
result in abeyance till the
till the end
that period of that period.

SA
For Secretary

7/9/10

The Revr. Comr. in Mysore.
The I.G. of Police in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Govt. for communication to him
The Canara Supdt. in Mysore.
The Dy. Comr. Kolar &c.

8992 (6

Dated, Bangalore, 5th October 1910.

Pol 25-10-3

From

A. Mrityunjaya Aiyar, Esq., B.A.,
Assistant to the Census Superintendent
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ---
General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

S i r,

Referring to Government ~~Orders~~ Proceedings No.J.1056-60/Police.25-10-2, Dated the 29th September 1910, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to grant me copies of the Government Proceedings No.J.2577-616/Police.179-06-14, dated the 5th March 1910 and of the report of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar District on which the said order has been passed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

A. Mrityunjaya Aiyar

Police (7)

File No. 25-10

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to J 992.

No. J 1275 En 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

Mr. A. Abidyanjaya Aiyar
Asst. to the Census Supt.
in Mysore

Date of issue 25.10.10

Signed by Sur. L. Narasimha Rao.

With reference to his letter dated the 5th October 1910, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of G. P. No. J 2577-616-Police 179-14 dated the 5th March 1910 as well as a copy of Kolar Dy. Comr's memo as requested by him.

By Order

for Secretary

B.G.
11.10.10.

over.

SUBJECT.

J 1139

Bangalore,

Dated Bangalore, 25th Oct: '10

File no 252/0
Sl. no. 5

From

A. M[illegible]quire, B.A.,

Assistant to the Census Superintendent in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Aiyar, Esquire B.A.,

Offg: Secy. to the Govt., of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite your reference to my -- letter, dated the 5th October 1910, and in requesting to be -- favoured with an early grant of the copies of the papers referred to therein, I beg to state that as it is already the 25th of the month, it will not be possible for me to submit my explanation with reference to the embezzlement case, before the end of the current month.

2. I, therefore, beg that I may be kindly -- given time to submit my explanation till the end of the next -- month, and the Comptroller to Government advised to hold the -- retrenchment in abeyance till then.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. M[illegible]

Assistant to the Census

Superintendent in Mysore.

R.S. 28.] G 1137 28-10-10.

File No. 25-10

Serial No. 6

my No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

, the 19

of issue 28-10-10

d by Mr. S. Narasimhaiya

ORDER ~~THEREON.~~ No. G 1273-7.

The time granted to Mr. A. Muniswamy Iyer to submit his explanation in the case of embezzlement in the Kolar Taluk police ~~Bombay~~ ~~in this case~~ is hereby extended by till the end of November 1910 ~~Feb~~ The Commissioner of Police

G.P. no. J 1056-60-Police 5-10-2 dated the 29th November 1910

SUBJECT.

28/10/10

GPB—10,000—9-12-09

W

...efore requested to hold the
...ttachment order in respe
...this office in abeyance
...e thus.

For Secretary

The Res. Comm. in Empire

~~The I.G. of Police in Empire~~

The Comptroller & Genl

~~The Secretary Imperial Legn~~ → The Cardus Lieutenant for Communication in Egypt

~~The Adjt. Genl. Natal &c~~

9.
10.10.SW
7/10

1/11/11
7/10/10

J1281 (11

file no. 25-10
82. no. 7

A. Mrityunja Aiyar, Esquire, B.A.,

Assistant to the Census Superintendent in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Aiyar, Esquire, B.A.,

Offg: Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

In acknowledging with thanks the receipt of the copies of the Government Proceedings No.J.2577-616/Police 179-06-14 -- dated the 5th March 1910 and of Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Memorandum dated the 9th February 1909, in the matter of the embezzlement ~~of~~ of Government money by the Station Writer of Kolar Taluk Police, I have the honor to request that in view to enable me to submit my explanation either, the complete records of enquiry - both Departmental and Magisterial - held into the embezzlement -- may be obtained from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, and forwarded to me for reference, or that the Deputy Commissioner -- may be instructed to allow me access to the records in case I am required to go to Kolar myself for the purpose.

2. The records which I very particularly require are :-

(a) All Pay Bills signed in triplicate from February 1905.

(b) The references made by the District Police Office to the Taluk Police Office in the matter of certain discrepancies noticed in the Pay Bills. (Vide Para 10 of the Deputy Commissioner's Memorandum).

(c) The explanation of Inspectors Vasudeva Rao & Narayan Rao.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. Mrityunja Aiyar
Asstt. Census Superintendent.

Bangalore
8th March 1910

G.R.S. 26]

Police

File No. 25-10

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 1447.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy. Comr. Kolar GC

Sir,

Adverting to G.P. Nos. J 1056-60-Police 25-10-2 dated the 29th September 1910, I am directed to state that the time given therein to Dwn. Mrityunjaya Iyer to submit his explanation regarding the Kolar Police embezzlement case to close upto the end of November 1910 and to request you to be so good as to afford facilities to that officer to inspect the records connected with the case in order to enable him to submit his explanation within the time allowed

I have &c

for Secretary

SUBJECT.

J 1448 & 12-11-10.

Copy to Dwn. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer with reference to his letter dt 8-11-10.

B. C.

for Secretary

12-11-10

13.11.10.

Bangalore
28th Nov 10.

File no. 25-10
Sl. no. 9

To.

C. S. Balasundram Esq BA

Offg. Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Land and Revenue Depto
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Memo No. J 1448/Pol 25-10-8 dated 12th Nov 1910, I have the honor to submit that ever since the receipt of the Order, I have not been able to go on to Kolar owing to pressure of urgent work in the Census Office, especially

In Connection with the issue
of Census Enumeration
Books and Schedules Forms
to the Sawal. Districts.
I have also been ill for the
last one week.

2. In these circumstances
I respectfully beg that the
Government will be pacious
pleased to extend the
time now granted to me
for the Submission of my
explanation on the Kolar
Police defalcation Case.
The time expires at the end
of the Current Month

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
A. Mrityunjaya

115

Police
File No. 25-10
Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

~~Hall~~

, the 19

Date of issue 1-12-10

Signed by [illegible]

READ—

G.P. No. J 1295-7-Police
25-10-6 dated the 28th October
1910 enclosing the leave
granted to Mr. A. Narayana
Jaya Iyer to submit his
explanation in the case of

ORDER THEREON. No.

embezzlement in the Taluk
Police Office Kolar till the
end of November 1910
2 Letter dated the
28th November 1910 from
Asst Officer stating that he
has not been able to

over

Sir to Kobe to inspect the
records owing to the pressure
of urgent work connected with
the ensuing Census and that
he has been ill for the past
one week and therefore
requesting that the time
granted may be further
extended.

Under these circumstances Potts 25-10-10 G 1-12-10
I am directed to forward the
application and please
to further extend the time
allowed to Mr. Merrilyn for
Iyer to submit his explanation
till the End of December 1910. No further time
will be granted

For Secretary

To

The Revd. Comr. in Japan
The Comptroller to Govt.
The Dy. Comr. Kobe &c.

The Chief Superintendent
for communication to Mr.
Merrilyn Iyer.

BS 12-8-10. To Mr Merrilyn Iyer

KMS
30/11/10

Typed
LCB
1/12/10.
Sent

J1572

file no 2540

No. 4192/153

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District, 8 Dec. 1
Dated Kolar, 7th December 1910.

From

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar. Esq. B.A.,B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer. Esq. B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Goverament of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J.1447/Pol. 25-10-8 dated the 12th November 1910, intimating that Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer, Assistant Commissioner, has been granted time to submit his explanation regarding the Kolar Taluk Police embezzlement case upto the end of November 1910, I have the honour to submit, for the information of Government, that he has not till now applied for any information on the subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir;
Your most obedient servant.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Police

File No. 2 - (

Serial No. 12

iary No. of letter replied to _____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

, the 190 –

) of issue 21-12-10

ied by Mr Shivyamaiya

READ-Again
Govt Proc No. J 1656-9/Police 25-10-
of the 1st Dec 1910 extending the
time allowed to Mr A. Mrityunjaya Iyer
for submitting his explanation in regard
to the case of embezzlement in the Tal
Police Office, Kolar, up to the end of Dec

ORDER THEREON.— No. J 1860-8/Police 25-10-10.

Mr Mrityunjaya Iyer
Has been given leave on accou
of illness, the Govt. are pleased
to direct that the recovery from him
of the sum of Rs 60-13-1. ordered
in Govt Proc No. J 2577-616/Police 19-06-

over

SUBJECT

1 to the 5th March 1910 in connection with the case above mentioned, held in abeyance until further orders.

Office Seer

time allowed for submitting the explanation be deemed to have an opportunity to make the extension

7 Dec

2 Revenue Court Inspector

e Complete to Sept.

Mr Muthyunjaya Iyer

e Revenue Court in Mysore

e Deputy Court, Kolar,

with reference to his letter

No 4192/153 of 7th Decr 1910.

55 Achiampadam Street
1657 Georgetown.
Madras.
20 Sept.

File no. 25
Sl. no. 13

C. S. Balasundaram Esq
Offg. Secretary to the
Govt of H. H. the Maharajah
of Mysore
Genl & Revl Depts
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the sum granted to me for submission of my explanation regard to the Police defa Case of Moran Sahib expires at the end the current month.

As owing to my sudden

was not able to go to Kolar,
study the connected
ards, and finally had
take leave, I respectfully
g that the Government
ll be graciously pleased
grant me nine till
e end of January 1911.

or submission of my
explanation

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt
A. Muthuswamy Aiya
Assistant Surgeon

File no. 25-10
Sl. no. 14.

To,

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to Government,
Of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

9 May 1911

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 2, dated 15th April, 1911, and in reply, I beg to t as follows:--

2. On 12th January last, I proceeded to Kolar isus duty; and I then personally applied to the Deput ssioner for the records of the Kolar Taluk Police element case. I was told by the Deputy Commissioner his office records were not then available as they been sent to Mr. M.P. Viswanatha Row, Assistant Superin nt of Police, Hassan, and had not yet been returned

1. The Deputy Commissioner said that he would send for the records and let me know on receipt thereof..

3. However, with the permission of Mr. C. Venkata Row Superintendent of Police, Kolar Dt., I took the opportunity of my visit to Kolar to look into the records available in the Taluk and District Police Offices, and the Superintendent kindly deputed his Head Quarter Inspector

P.T.O..

to show me the papers required by me. A scrutiny of the papers relating to the period of my Amildari (i.e., from February, 1905, when the embezzlement began, to the end of June, 1906, when I was transferred to Bangalore) has disclosed certain material facts, to which I now crave the kind consideration of Government.

4. In para 10 of the Deputy Commissioner's memo and para 4 of the Government Order thereon No.2577--616/ Police 179-06-14, dated 5th March, 1910, reference is made to certain orders issued in February and August 1905 by the Assistant Superintendent of Police to the Taluk Office calling for the explanation of certain double payments and discrepancies between the figures given in the pay bills of the town Police and the Treasury figures. From the Despatch Register of the District Police Office I have noted the particulars of these communications as follows:-- --

	No. 64,	Dated 15th February, 1905..
Remainder	No. 37,	dated 18th August, 1905.
"	67.	19/21 .. September, 1905.
"	222.	dated 20th February, 1906.

1---3---1906.

But none of these communications have been registered in the register of the Taluk Police Office. Looking further into the Tapal Book of the District Police Office to find out how the original communication No.64, dated 15th Feb-- ruary, 1905, had been despatched (by the Tapal or Muddam)

P..T..O..

and if by Muddam, by whom it had been receipted in the Taluk Police Office, I find that the particular sheet, i.e., the very first sheet of the Tapal Book which ought to have contained the entry relating to the above Communication is missing from the book. In the absence of the records of the Deputy Commissioner's Office, I am unable to say whether the originals of the papers above referred to are now available or not.

5. Again in para 6 of his memo, the Deputy Commissioner writes as follows:-- -- --

"In the present case, it is found from the records that correct copies of the Barawards were prepared by the writer for record in the Taluk Office, and for submission to the District Police Office, simultaneously with false triplicates, for larger amounts for encashment in the Treasury, which he himself used to cash... The amounts specified in the correct copies are entered in the cash book, and not those actually drawn from the Treasury on the false triplicates."

and with reference to this, the Government in para 2 of their Order observe:-- -- --

"Correct copies of the Barawards were prepared by him for record in the Taluk Office and for submission to the District Police Office. At the same time he prepared false Barawards for larger amounts for encashment in the Treasury. These, the writer himself

cashed, the amounts entered in the cash book being those specified in the correct copies submitted to the Taluk and District Offices and not those actually drawn on the false barawards" I must confess my inability to grasp the significance of the word "Triplicates" used by the Deputy Commissioner. Looking into the records, it will be seen, that as Amildar, I have signed only one bill every month, i.e., the bill encashed in the Treasury, besides initialling the draft thereof, i.e., the Office Baraward registery. As regards the copies of the bills sent to the District Police Office, it will be seen on perusal of the papers that it is the Inspector who has sent the copies in duplicate to the District Police Office as a departmental return nder his own signature and under cover of Ittillas" signed by himself independently of he Amildar. In these circumstances, these opies cannot be said to be duplicates and trilicates signed by the Amildar, and the Inspector s personally responsible for the accuracy of hese copies. I looked into these and found hat some of them, though certified to be copies" of the Taluk Police Baraward re not really such. I append a statement howing the figures according to the Taluk araward register, the copies sent to the District Police Office by the Inspector and the riginal Bills signed by myself and encashed n the Treasury month by month. From the statement

it will be seen that the District Office Copies of the Barawards for the months of June and July, 1905, April and May, 1906, were not forthcoming from the file of the District Police papers and that in respect of the other months the copies for the months of April, 1905, August 1905, September, 1905, December, 1905, January, 1906, February, 1906, are not really copies of the Taluk Barawards. ~~But that~~ On the other hand, the copies sent to the District Office for the months of August, 1905, September, 1905, ~~October, 05~~, December, 05, January, 1906, tally with the Treasury Bills for enhanced amounts drawn in those months, and ~~While~~ those of the months of April, 05, and February, 06, differ both from the Taluk Barawards and Treasury Bills for the respective months..

The coincidence between the copies sent to the District Police Office and the Treasury Bills in those months where the latter differed from the Taluk Barawar(is a point which I respectfully venture to submit for the particular consideration of the Government. I may also a(that some of these bills contain pencil ~~t~~icks and notes indicating that the discrepancies have been noticed by the District Police Office, and had the Office only drawn my attention to the various irregularities in the bills of the several months in due time, the course of the embezzlement would certainly have not run so far, as it ha(unfortunately done..

6. Turning now to the investigation and trial of

27

the case, I have not yet had an oppor
the records, these having been sent to Hassan at the time. However from a copy of the judgment of the Sessions Court which I happened to find in the records of the District Police Office, I understand that the Police writer Ramaswami Naidu was charged and convicted in two cases with reference to 4 items--firstly in Kolar Sessions case No.17 of 06--07 in respect of the bills for March, September and October 1906 and secondly in Sessions case No.18 of 06--07 in respect of the pay bills of December, 06. Of these items only one, viz., the pay Bill for March 06 relates to the period of my Amildari. As I have already submitted to Government, I have not been examined with reference to this embezzlement either in the Departmental investigation or in the Court trial. In his statement before Mr. Viswanatha Row who, as Assistant Superintendent of Police, who has investigated the case. Mr. M. Vasudeva Row, who was Inspector of Police all the time I was Amildar, has on 30th April, 07, deposed as follows:-- -- --

"I was Inspector of Police at Kolar from 22-1-04 to 15-6-06--1.C.C.No.372. Ramaswami Naidu was my writer. Regarding the embezzlement of money by him during my charge of the Taluk, i.e., from March, 05, to the end of May, 1906, I went to the Comptroller's Office yesterday as per your directions and saw the pay bills. They bear my signatures. Figures in some of the pay bills have been altered. In some others, figures and amounts are altered. I fully remember that I used to check the pay bills before affixing my signatures on them. I am therefore doubtful that the accused Constable Ramaswami ~~Naidu~~ was making fresh

P..T..O..

entries after my signatures were obtained. The cash book and the Office copies of the Bara-- wards shew that the accused Constable was pocket -ing the overdrawn money without giving any clue towards its ~~detection~~ detection. It was usual with the accused to go himself to the Taluk Treasury to receive payment on bills."

Not having yet had an opportunity to go through the records of the trial of the case, I have yet to learn how far the Inspector's suspicion that "the accused had been making fresh entries after the Inspector's signature had been obtained was brought out at the trial and what view the Court had taken of the same. These points are very material, for, if, as explained by the Inspector, the additional entries had been made and figures altered after ~~the~~ signature by the Police Inspector, the question arises why the alteration should not have been made after my signature too.

7 Lastly, I beg to submit for the consideration of Government a certain fact which came to my notice during my visit at Kolar and which, though not quite bearing on the particular embezzlement case under advertence, is not altogether devoid of its significance.

Tracing the antecedents of the Police writer Ramasami Naidu, it will be seen from the Records of the District Police Office that this person was a constable employed as Police Station Writer ~~in Chintamani Taluk~~ and that he was dismissed from service ~~from that place~~ under the

District Superintendent's Order dated 13th March, 1900 for forgery in the Acquittance Register and misappropriation of certain salaries due to other constables. The D.S.P.'s order has been communicated to all Taluks; and yet three years afterwards, in April, 1903, the person has been admitted in the Kolar Taluk Police by the order of the then District Superintendent of Police who had full knowledge of the antecedents of the person as will be seen from the correspondence on the subject.

Again Ramaswami Naidu, on such readmission, appears to have been doing duty in the But-posts; and some months before the embezzlement began, he has been taken as writer in the Head Police Station. I have not been able to find out when and under whose order the constable was taken as Police Writer.

I merely submit the matter for the consideration of Government with reference to the propriety of th Police Department having employed as Police Writer a person once dismissed for forgery and embezzlement of money in similar capacity.

8. I had till now reservedsubmitting my explanati until I had perused the Deputy Commissioner's Office records. But owing to the pressure of Census work at Bangalore and to my own illness I have not been able to go to Kolar again. But the Government having now calle for my explanation I submit this on the facts gathered l me from the records of the District and Taluk Police Officers..

P.T.O..

9. In conclusion, I request that the Government will be pleased to postpone passing final orders until I could have an opportunity to look into the ~~&&&&&~~ records of the District Office and submit my final explanation with reference to any additional facts that I may be able to gather therefrom..

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

U. Muthuswamy Ayr

Assessions Supt (on leave)

r. Chandpur

7 May 1911

Statement Shewing the a

according to (1) the Taluk Baramahal Register (2) the Copy

from Feb 05

Month	Particulars	Taluk Police Bills											
		Pay			Reserved for future payment			Fines & Savings			Net charge		
	Taluk Baramahal Register	487			33				8		45		
Feb 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office	487			33				8		45		
	Treasury Bill	487			7			8	8		479		
	Tk Baramahal Register	475			29			1			445		
March 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office	475			29			1			445		
	Treasury Bill	475			15			1			459		
	Tk Baramahal Register	484			22			8	2	8	453		
April 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office	484			22			9	12	10	452		
	Treasury Bill	484			8			2	1	7	473		
	Tk Baramahal Register	485			15			10	9	9	459		
May 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office	485			15			10	9	9	459		
	Treasury Bill	485							10	10	484		
	Tk Baramahal Register	498			28			28	4	2	421		
June 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office												
	Treasury Bill	498									498		
	Tk Baramahal Register	497			21	5	2	15	7	3	460		
July 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office												
	Treasury Bill	497						8	7	3	488		
	Tk Baramahal Register	477						11	4	7	465		
Aug 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office	477									477		
	Treasury Bill	477									477		
	Tk Baramahal Register	484						26	8	4	457		
Sept 05	Copies sent to Dt Police Office	484			15						469		
	Treasury Bill	484						15			469		

ments of Kotah Taluk & Town Police Pay bills

Encl to Pol 25-10-14

Sent to Dt Police Office by the Insp.r of (3) the Treasury bills:

May 06

	Town Police Bills.												Remarks.
	Pay.			Recovered for future payment			Fines & Savings.		Net drawn				
	217			19	2	4			197	13	8		
	217			19	2	4			197	13	8		
	217			12	2	4			204	13	8		
	197			22			3	11	171	5			
	197			22			3	11	171	5			
	197						3	11	193	5			
4	221			5	4			11	2	166	4	10	
2	221			5	4			11	2	166	4	10	
5	235			33				11	2	201	4	10	
3	191			21			2	11	4	167	4	8	
3	191			21			2	11	4	167	4	8	
2	191									191			
8	212			25	14	5	6	3	2	179	14	5	
													Copies not in Dt Police Office file.
	205			7						198			
7	186			7						179			
													— Do —
9	186									186			
5	188			7						181			
	188			7						181			
	188			7						181			
8	188						14	15	9	173	3		
	188			14						174			
	188			14						174			

Month	Particulars.	Taluk Police Bills											
		Pay			Received for future payments			Funeral Savings					
	Talukd Baramahal Register	469						1	8				
Oct 05	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office	469						1	8				
	Treasury Bill	469						1	8				
	Talukd Baramahal Register	469						59	1	7			
Nov Dec 05	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office	469						59	1	2			
	Treasury Bill	469						29					
	Talukd Baramahal Register	412							8				
Dec Jan 05	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office	412							8				
	Treasury Bill	412							8				
	Talukd Baramahal Register	426						11	1				
Feb 06 Jany	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office	433											
	Treasury Bill	433											
	Talukd Baramahal Register	483						18	2				
Feb March 06	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office	483						10	8				4
	Treasury Bill	483											4
	Talukd Baramahal Register	469						10	1	11			4
March 06	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office	469						10	1	11			4
	Treasury Bill	571						4	4				5
	Talukd Baramahal Register	471						4	14	4			4
April 06	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office												
	Treasury Bill	541						541					5
	Talukd Baramahal Register	471						1	1				4
May 06	C/Spies sent D.D. Police Office												
	Treasury Bill	520											5

Enl & Pd 25-10-14

John Philip Bikes.

	Pay.	Reserved for future payment	Fines & Stoppages	Net drawings	Remarks
7 8	176		8	175 8	
7 8	176		8	175 8	
7 8	176		8	175 8	
9 14 5	176		3 9	175 12 3	
9 14 5	176		3 9	175 12 3	
40	176		3 9	175 12 3	
14 8	176			176	
8	176			176	
11 8	176			176	
14 15	176			176	
23	176			176	
33	176			176	
64 4	176		6 12	169 4	
72 8	176			176	
83	176			176	
68 14	176		3 13 10	172 2 2	
68 14	176		3 13 10	172 2 2	
06 12	176		4	175 12	
66 8	176		4	175 12	
					Copies retained by Pte
41	176		4	175 12	0
69 15	176	7		169	
					80
20	183			183	

A. Brumfitt Major

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

No. 7680
S.A. 755

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 8th June 1912.

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Offg: *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
General and Revenue *Departments.*

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No.1860-3/Pol.25-10-12 dated 21st December 1910 directing to hold in abeyance until further orders, the recovery from Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer, Assistant Commissioner, of the sum of Rs.60-13-1 ordered in Government Order No.J.2577-616/Pol.179-06-14 dated 5th March 1910, I have the honor to state that Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer has since returned from furlough and joined his appointment at Kolar, and to request that you will be so good as to inform this office whether the recovery of the amount referred to above is now to be effected.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J Chakravarti

Comptroller.

Rec.—Gl. 17]

.....Department.

19/2

From

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, Esq., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....Esq.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
.....Jud & Rev.....*Dept.*

Dated

Despatched 13-6-12

Received

No. 7680
757

Subject-matter.

Stating that Mr. M. Tyagaraja Iyer has since returned from furlough & joined his appointment of

G.R.S. 28]

Police

File No. 25-10

Serial No. 16

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

JCW.

Date of issue 25/8/13

Signed by S Rajagopalachari Esq

READ—

--- Para 5 of G.P. nos. J2577-616 Police 179-06-14 dated the 5th March 1910, directing that, as a disciplinary measure, the officers whose negligence contributed to the embezzlement of Govt. money by constable Venkatarama Naidu of the Kolar Dk Police office, be made to pay the amount in certain proportions,

over.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

SUBJECT.

copies typed
MV
2-3-13.

35

by the order was any amount
[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~

that may be recovered from ~~him~~ him
~~[illegible]~~ .

2. Letter no. 18 dated Police file no 21-10 (linked) d. no 1
the 9th July 1910 from the Dy. Coner.,
Mysore Dt., forwarding for
favourable consideration the
representation of Mr. T.K. Sundara
rajiengar, praying that, for
reasons stated, the amount
paid by him to the Nanjangud
Tk Fry. in obedience to the
above order may be refunded
to him.

3. Letter no. 1298-53 dated Police file no 47-10 (linked)
sl no 1
the 23rd August 1910 from the
Dy. Coner. Kolar, Dt., forwarding
for favourable consideration
a similar representation from
Mr. A. Vedanta Desikam Iyengar.

4. Letter dated the 19th Police file no 58-10 (linked)
Sl no 1
September 1910 from Mr. C.V. Narayana
Rao, Rh. Amildar, praying

GPB—20 000—3.10.11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

contributing to recoup the loss
sustained by Govt. by the
defalcation.

5. Correspondence
ending with letter dated
the 29th May 1911. from
Mr. A. Moidyen Jassya Iyer to
the matter of accepting his
from the liability to make
good a portion of the amou
defalcated.

Police file no 58-11 (linked)
Sl no 1

6. Letter no. 771-74
dated the 21st August 1911
from the D. G. of Police in Dup
forwarding for orders a copy
of letter no. 513 dated the 17th
June 1911 from Mr. M. P. Vira
matha Rao, Supdt. of Police,
requesting that, he may be
in the circumstances explai
absolved from the charge of
negligence framed against hi
in the above order. G.O. 2830-6 Jud
Pol 25-10-16 8/12

Order thereon. No.

Govt. have carefully
considered the
representations
of the
submitted

ubd by the officers referred to above, I see
sufficient reason to reconsider
their order of the 5th March 1910.
~~read above already passed~~

For Secretary Dtmt
15/3

The D.G. of Police in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Govt.
The Dy. Comr. Hassan. Dt. for Comr & the Officers concerned
The Dy. Comr. Kolar Dt. for Comr & the Officers concerned
The Dy. Comr. Mysore Dt.
Mr. C.V. Narayana Rao, R.D. Chikballapur.
" T.K. Somdara raja Iyengar, RD. Asst Comr.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read-

Para 5 of Government Proceedings No. J.2577-616-Police 179-06-14, dated the 5th March 1910, directing that, as a disciplinary measure, the officers whose negligence contributed to embezzlement of Government money by constable-writer Ramaswami Naidu of the Kolar Taluk Police office, be made to pay, in certain proportions, the amount defalcated by the writer less any amount that may be recovered from him.

2. Letter No. 13, dated the 9th July 1910, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, forwarding for favourable consideration, the representation of Mr. A.K. Sundararajiengar, praying that, for reasons stated, the amount paid by him to the Nanjangud Taluk Treasury in obedience to the above order may be refunded to him.

3. Letter No. 1790-53, dated the 23rd August 1910, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, forwarding for favourable consideration a similar representation from Mr. A. Vedantadesigam Iyengar.

4. Letter dated the 19th September 1910, from Mr. C.V.Narayana Rao, Retired Amildar, praying that he may be excused from contributing to recoup the loss sustained by the Government by the defalcation.

. Correspondence ending with letter dated the 9th May 1911, from Mr. A. Krityunjaya Iyer in the matter of exempting him from the liability to make good a portion of the amount defalcated.

. Letter No. 771-74, dated the 21st August 1911, from the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, forwarding for orders, a copy of letter No. 513 dated the 17th June 1911, from Mr.K.P. Lowannatha Rao, Superintendent of Police, requesting that, in the circumstances explained, he may be exculpated from the charge of negligence framed against him in the above order.

39

ler thereon No. J2830-6/Pol 25-10-16

Dated, Bangalore, the 25th March 1913.

Government have carefully gone through the representa-ons submitted by the officers referred to above, and see sufficient reason to reconsider their order of the 5th -- rch 1910.

Sd/- Srinivasa Rao

For Offg. Secretary to Govt.

SM

The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

" Comptroller, Mysore Government.

" Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, for communication to the officers concerned.

" Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, for communication to the officers concerned.

" Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Dr. C.V. Narayana Rao, retired Builder.

" T.L. Sundararajiengar, retired Assistant Commissioner